

## **EVALUATION FORM**

**Referee (acronym/number assigned):**

**Title of article/Position in the provisional contents:**

### **1. Content (50 p.)**

- 1.1. Relevance of topic, originality of treatment of the subject.
- 1.2. Knowledge of the field and stage of the topic.
- 1.3. Ability to clearly summarize the subject, persuasive conclusions, openness to new research etc.

### **2. Compositional organization (15 p.)**

- 2.1. Balance between theoretical infrastructure, personal analyses/demonstrations and the corpus of examples underlying them.
- 2.2. Logical structure, coherent succession of chapters, paragraphs, ideas.

### **3. Writing and techno-editing (20 p.)**

- 3.1. Accuracy of writing in a foreign language.
- 3.2. Compliance with the techno-editing rules of the journal.

### **4. Critical apparatus of the proposed article (15 p.)**

- 4.1. Accurate and complete citation of biographical sources, including examples and graphic material used.
- 4.2. Correspondence and balance between the references in the text and the final bibliography.

**Overall score:**

**Recommendation:**

- Publishable in the original form.
- Publishable with modifications resulted from revisions.
- Not approved for publication.

**To the attention of referees:**

1. The referee is to complete the *Evaluation form* and send it to the journal e-mail address within a maximum of 25 days from the date of receipt.
2. Should the referee be in any conflict of interest with the evaluated author/material, he/she is required to notify of this situation.
3. Evaluation is confidential: a) the editorial office does not disclose the identity of the referee or of the author; b) the referee does not disclose, outside the editorial office, the content of the article or the observations made on it.
4. Any suspicion related to plagiarism or violation of scientific research deontology will be promptly reported to the editorial office.